



PERGAMON

Applied Mathematics Letters 16 (2003) 475–479

**Applied
Mathematics
Letters**www.elsevier.nl/locate/aml

Wavelet Regularization with Error Estimates on a General Sideways Parabolic Equation

CHU-LI FU, CHUN-YU QIU AND YOU-BIN ZHU

Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University

Lanzhou, 730000, P.R. China

fuchuli@lzu.edu.cn

(Received May 2002; accepted June 2002)

Abstract—A wavelet regularization method for a general sideways parabolic equation is given. Some sharp stability estimates are also provided. © 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords—Sideways parabolic equation, Ill-posed problem, Regularization, Meyer wavelet, Error estimate.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the following sideways parabolic equation in the quarter plane [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= a(x)u_{xx} + b(x)u_x + c(x)u, & x > 0, \quad t > 0, \\ u(1, t) &= g(t), & t \geq 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= 0, & x \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Here a , b , and c are given functions such that for some $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\leq a(x) \leq \Lambda, \quad c(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ a(\cdot) &\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+), \quad b(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+), \quad c(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+). \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

We want to know $u(x, t)$ for $0 \leq x < 1$; this is a severely ill-posed problem [1]. Several authors have dealt with the case of heat equation with constant coefficients [2–4]. Numerical methods have been developed also for more general equations [3, 5], but, in most cases, the stability theory and convergence proofs have not been generalized accordingly. This paper remedies this by a new wavelet regularization method.

As we consider the problem in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to variable t , we extend $u(x, \cdot)$, $g(\cdot)$, $f(\cdot) := u(0, \cdot)$, and other functions appearing in the paper to be zero for $t < 0$. The notations $\|\cdot\|$, (\cdot, \cdot)

The subject is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10271050) and the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (No. ZS021-A25-001-Z).

denote L^2 -norm and scalar product, respectively, and $\hat{h}(\xi) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi t} h(t) dt$ is the Fourier transform of $h(t)$. The corresponding direct problem with (1.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= a(x)u_{xx} + b(x)u_x + c(x)u, & x > 0, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0, t) &= f(t), & t \geq 0, \quad f(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \\ u(x, 0) &= 0, & x \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

For the uniqueness of solution, we require $\|u(x, \cdot)\|$ be bounded. The following conclusions can be found in [1].

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $v(x, \xi)$ be the solution of the following boundary value problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} i\xi v(x, \xi) &= a(x)v_{xx} + b(x)v_x + c(x)v, & x > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \\ v(0, \xi) &= 1, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} v(x, \xi) &= 0, & \xi \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

For $\xi = 0$, we require $v(x, 0)$ be bounded as x tends to ∞ . Suppose that problem (1.3) has a solution u , then

$$\hat{u}(x, \xi) = v(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad x > 0. \quad (1.5)$$

NOTE. From (1.5), we know if problem (1.3) has a solution, then

$$\hat{g}(\xi) = v(1, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad (1.6)$$

$$\hat{u}(x, \xi) = \frac{v(x, \xi)}{v(1, \xi)} \hat{g}(\xi). \quad (1.7)$$

LEMMA 1.2. *There exist constants c_1, c_2 , such that for $x \in [0, 1]$ and $|\xi|$ large enough, say $|\xi| \geq \xi_0$,*

$$c_1 e^{-A(x)\sqrt{|\xi|/2}} \leq |v(x, \xi)| \leq c_2 e^{-A(x)\sqrt{|\xi|/2}}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $A(x) = \int_0^x (1/\sqrt{a(s)}) ds$. Moreover, for $x \in [0, 1]$, the right-hand side in (1.8) is valid for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ with another constant c_2 .

LEMMA 1.3. *If the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} a(x)v_{xx} + b(x)v_x + c(x)v &= 0, \\ v(0) &= 1, \quad v(x)|_{x \rightarrow \infty} \text{ bounded}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

has a unique solution, then there exist constants c'_1, c'_2 such that

$$c'_1 e^{-A(1)\sqrt{|\xi|/2}} \leq |v(1, \xi)| \leq c'_2 e^{-A(1)\sqrt{|\xi|/2}}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.10)$$

2. REGULARIZATION AND ERROR ESTIMATES

Let $\varphi(t), \psi(t)$ be Meyer scaling and wavelet functions, respectively, then from [6] we know $\text{Supp } \hat{\varphi} = [-(4/3)\pi, (4/3)\pi]$, $\text{Supp } \hat{\psi} = [-(8/3)\pi, -(2/3)\pi] \cup [(2/3)\pi, (8/3)\pi]$, and $\psi_{jk}(t) := 2^{j/2} \psi(2^j t - k)$, $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ constitute an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\text{Supp } \hat{\psi}_{jk}(\xi) = \left[-\frac{8}{3} \pi 2^j, -\frac{2}{3} \pi 2^j \right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{3} \pi 2^j, \frac{8}{3} \pi 2^j \right], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.1)$$

The multiresolution analysis (MRA) $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of Meyer wavelet is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} V_j &= \overline{\{\varphi_{jk} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}}, \quad \varphi_{jk} := 2^{j/2} \varphi(2^{j/2} t - k), \quad j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \text{Supp } \hat{\varphi}_{jk}(\xi) &= \left[-\frac{4}{3} \pi 2^j, \frac{4}{3} \pi 2^j \right], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The orthogonal projection of a function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ on space V_j is given by $P_j g := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (g, \varphi_{jk}) \varphi_{jk}$, while $Q_j g := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (g, \psi_{jk}) \psi_{jk}$ denotes the projection on wavelet space W_j with $V_{j+1} = V_j \oplus W_j$. It is easy to see from (2.2) and (2.1) that

$$\widehat{P_j g}(\xi) = 0, \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \frac{4}{3} \pi 2^J, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\widehat{Q_j g}(\xi) = 0, \quad \text{for } j > J \text{ and } |\xi| < \frac{4}{3} \pi 2^J. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $(I - P_j)g = \sum_{j \geq J} Q_j g$ and from (2.4), we know

$$((I - P_j)g)^\wedge(\xi) = \widehat{Q_j g}(\xi), \quad \text{for } |\xi| < \frac{4}{3} \pi 2^J. \quad (2.5)$$

LEMMA 2.1. (See [7].) Let $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be Meyer's MRA and suppose $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $g \in V_J$, we have

$$\|D^k g\|_{H^r} \leq C 2^{(J-1)k} \|g\|_{H^r}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.6)$$

where C is a positive constant and $D^k = \frac{d^k g}{dt^k}$.

Let T_x be the operator: $g(t) \mapsto u(x, t)$ for $0 \leq x < 1$ defined by (1.7), i.e.,

$$\widehat{T_x g}(\xi) = \hat{u}(x, \xi) = \frac{v(x, \xi)}{v(1, \xi)} \hat{g}(\xi), \quad 0 \leq x < 1, \quad (2.7)$$

where $u(x, t)$ is the solution of problem (1.1). We can prove the following.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose problem (1.9) has a unique solution, $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are Meyer's MRA, $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq x < 1$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $g(t) \in V_J$, we have

$$\|T_x g\|_{H^r} \leq C \exp \left\{ 2^{(J-1)/2} (A(1) - A(x)) \right\} \|g\|_{H^r}. \quad (2.8)$$

PROOF. For convenience, we will denote different constants appearing in the proof by same C . Note that

$$e^{(A(1)-A(x))\sqrt{|\xi|/2}} \leq 2\sqrt{2} \left| \cosh \left(\sqrt{i\xi} (A(1) - A(x)) \right) \right|, \quad (2.9)$$

and from (2.7), (1.8), (1.10), (2.9), and Hölder inequality, we know that for $g \in V_J$, $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_x g\|_{H^r} &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \widehat{T_x g} \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{v(x, \xi)}{v(1, \xi)} \hat{g}(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| e^{(A(1)-A(x))\sqrt{|\xi|/2}} \hat{g}(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \cosh \left(\sqrt{i\xi} (A(1) - A(x)) \right) \hat{g}(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &= C \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A(1) - A(x))^{2k}}{(2k)!} (i\xi)^k \hat{g}(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A(1) - A(x))^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(i\xi)^k \hat{g}(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A(1) - A(x))^{2k}}{(2k)!} \|D_k g\|_{H^r} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A(1) - A(x))^{2k}}{(2k)!} 2^{(J-1)k} \|g\|_{H^r} \\ &= C \cosh \left(2^{(J-1)/2} (A(1) - A(x)) \right) \|g\|_{H^r} \leq C \exp \left\{ 2^{(J-1)/2} (A(1) - A(x)) \right\} \|g\|_{H^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g(\cdot), g_m(\cdot)$ be exact and measured data, respectively, which satisfy

$$\|g - g_m\|_{H^r} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for some } r \leq 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Since g_m belongs, in general, to $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset H^r(\mathbb{R})$ for $r \leq 0$, so r should not be positive. We also need an additional condition $f(t) := u(0, t) \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for some $s \geq r$, and

$$\|f\|_{H^s} \leq M. \quad (2.11)$$

Letting $T_{x,J} := T_x P_J$, we can show it approximates T_x in a stable way for an appropriate choice of $J \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on ε and M .

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose problem (1.9) has a unique solution, then for every fixed $J \in \mathbb{N}$, problem (1.1) with data g in V_J is well-posed. Suppose (2.10), (2.11) hold, then the problem of calculating $T_{x,J}g_m$ is stable. Furthermore, with*

$$J^* := \left\lceil \log_2 \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{A(1)} \ln \left(\frac{M}{\varepsilon} \left(\ln \frac{M}{\varepsilon} \right)^{-2(s-r)} \right) \right)^2 \right) \right\rceil, \quad (2.12)$$

where $[a]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_x g - T_{x,J^*} g_m\|_{H^r} \\ & \leq \left(C + (c_2 + c'_2 C) A(1)^{2(s-r)} \left(\frac{\ln(M/\varepsilon)}{\ln(M/\varepsilon) + \ln(\ln(M/\varepsilon))^{-2(s-r)}} \right)^{2(s-r)} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot M^{1-(A(x)/A(1))} \varepsilon^{(A(x)/A(1))} \left(\ln \frac{M}{\varepsilon} \right)^{-2(s-r)(1-(A(x)/A(1)))}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where C, c_2, c'_2 are the constants appearing in (2.8), (1.8), (2.11), respectively.

PROOF. $\|T_x g - T_{x,J} g_m\|_{H^r} \leq \|T_x g - T_{x,J} g\|_{H^r} + \|T_{x,J}(g - g_m)\|_{H^r}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{x,J}(g - g_m)\|_{H^r} &= \|T_x P_J(g - g_m)\|_{H^r} \\ &\leq C \exp \left\{ 2^{(J-1)/2} (A(1) - A(x)) \right\} \|P_J(g - g_m)\|_{H^r} \\ &\leq C \exp \left\{ 2^{(J-1)/2} (A(1) - A(x)) \right\} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that from (2.7), (2.3), we know

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_x g - T_{x,J} g\|_{H^r} &= \|T_x(I - P_J)g\|_{H^r} \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{v(x, \xi)}{v(1, \xi)} ((I - P_J)g)^\wedge(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{|\xi| \geq (4/3)\pi 2^J} \left| \frac{v(x, \xi)}{v(1, \xi)} \hat{g}(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{|\xi| < (4/3)\pi 2^J} \left| \frac{v(x, \xi)}{v(1, \xi)} ((I - P_J)g)^\wedge(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.6), (1.8), we know

$$I_1 \leq \left(\int_{|\xi| \geq (4/3)\pi 2^J} \left| v(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \leq c_2 2^{-J(s-r)} \exp \left\{ -A(x) 2^{J/2} \right\} \|f\|_{H^s}.$$

From (2.5), (2.7), (2.8), and noting that $Q_J g \in W_J \subset V_{J+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \left(\int_{|\xi| < (4/3)\pi 2^J} \left| \frac{v(x, \xi)}{v(1, \xi)} \widehat{Q_J g}(\xi) \right|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi \right)^{1/2} \leq \|T_x Q_J g\|_{H^r} \\ &\leq C \exp \left\{ 2^{J/2} (A(1) - A(x)) \right\} \|Q_J g\|_{H^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that from (1.6) and (1.10), it is easy to show

$$\|Q_J g\|_{H^r} \leq c'_2 2^{-J(s-r)} \exp \left\{ -A(1) 2^{J/2} \right\} \|f\|_{H^s},$$

and so

$$I_2 \leq c'_2 C 2^{-J(s-r)} \exp \left\{ -A(x) 2^{J/2} \right\} \|f\|_{H^s}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_x g - T_{x, J^*} g_m\|_{H^r} &\leq C \exp \left\{ 2^{(J-1)/2} (A(1) - A(x)) \right\} \varepsilon \\ &\quad + (c_2 + c'_2 C) 2^{-J(s-r)} \exp \left\{ -A(x) 2^{J/2} \right\} \|f\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Note the representation of J^* and by a simple computation using (2.14), inequality (2.13) can be obtained. The proof is completed.

REMARK 2.1. Taking $s = r = 0$, we can obtain an L^2 -estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_x g - T_{x, J^*} g_m\|_{L^2} &\leq (1 + c_2 + c'_2) C M^{1-(A(x)/A(1))} \varepsilon^{(A(x)/A(1))} \\ &:= C_1 M^{1-(A(x)/A(1))} \varepsilon^{(A(x)/A(1))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Especially, when $a(x) \equiv 1$, then $A(1) = 1, A(x) = x$. So

$$\|T_x g - T_{x, J^*} g_m\|_{L^2} \leq C_1 M^{1-x} \varepsilon^x. \quad (2.16)$$

This result has been obtained by several authors by using different regularization methods for the standard sideways heat equation [2–4] and this estimate is at least close to optimal or it is “order optimal” [4] for the sideways heat equation.

REMARK 2.2. From (2.15), (2.16), we know when $x \rightarrow 0^+$, the accuracy of regularized solutions become all progressively lower. At $x = 0$, they merely imply that the errors are bounded by $C_1 M$. But if we take $s - r > 0$, then from (2.13), we know the speed of convergence of the regularized solution is faster, and at $x = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_0 g - T_{0, J^*} g_m\|_{H^r} &= \|f - T_{0, J^*} g_m\|_{H^r} \\ &\leq \left(C + (c_2 + c'_2 C) A(1)^{2(s-r)} \left(\frac{\ln(M/\varepsilon)}{\ln(M/\varepsilon) + \ln(\ln(M/\varepsilon))^{-2(s-r)}} \right)^{2(s-r)} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot M \left(\ln \frac{M}{\varepsilon} \right)^{-2(s-r)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. D.N. Háo and H.-J. Reinhardt, On a sideways parabolic equation, *Inverse Problems* **13**, 297–309, (1997).
2. A. Carasso, Determining surface temperatures from interior observations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **42** (3), 558–574, (1982).
3. L. Eldén, F. Berntsson and T. Regińska, Wavelet and Fourier methods for solving the sideways heat equation, *SIAM J. Sci. Comp.* **21** (6), 2187–2205, (2000).
4. U. Tantenhahn, Optimal stable approximations for the sideways heat equation, *J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems* **5** (3), 787–807, (1997).
5. D.N. Háo, H.-J. Reinhardt and A. Schneider, Numerical solution to a sideways parabolic equation, *Int. J. Numer. Methods Engin.* **50** (3), 1253–1267, (2001).
6. I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, (1992).
7. D.N. Háo, A. Schneider and H.-J. Reinhardt, Regularization of a noncharacteristic Cauchy problem for a parabolic equation, *Inverse Problem* **11**, 1247–1263, (1995).